

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/690,979	Applicant(s) ARNOLD ET AL.	
	Examiner Leon Y. Lum	Art Unit 1641	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Leon Y. Lum.

(3) _____.

(2) John Pokotylo.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 August 2006

Time: 11:15 am EST

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants' representative indicated that a reply to the Office Action mailed February 6, 2006 has not been filed and that the application can be abandoned.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)